

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/719,551	11/20/2003	Darryl P. Klein	W-9652-01	3546
7.	590 03/15/2005		EXAM	INER
Robert A. Maggio, Esq.			WOOD, ELIZABETH D	
Advanced Refi 7500 Grace Dri	ning Technologies LLC		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Columbia, MD			1755	
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	//				
	10/719,551	KLEIN, DARRYL P.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Elizabeth D. Wood	1755					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fround that cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely, om the mailing date of this communication NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) T	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-100 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) 1-100 are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	(C					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		e Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	, ,	· ·	d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a light service.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Application of the properties of the proper	ation No ived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date							

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-23 and 53-79, drawn to a precursor composition, classified in class 502, subclass varies.

- II. Claims 24-52 and 80-88, drawn to a catalyst composition, classified in class 502, subclass varies.
- III. Claims 89-100, drawn to hydrocarbon conversion processes, classified in classes 208 and 585, subclasses vary.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the composition as claimed has utility for materially different purposes such as both cracking and desulfurization.

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful in electroless plating and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to

Application/Control Number: 10/719,551

Art Unit: 1755

show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have no relationship because the precursor is not actually a catalyst composition. Therefore the two groups clearly have different functions and effects.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In the event that applicant elects Group III, applicant is required to elect a single disclosed species of hydrocarbon conversion for prosecution.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,551 Page 4

Art Unit: 1755

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth D. Wood whose telephone number is 571-272-1377. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,551 Page 5

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elizabeth D. Wood Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

edw